



RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

Effect of application of elements and variety on biological and grain yield and harvest index of wheat in Zahak region

Hamid Reza Mobasser^{1*}, Ghorban Noor Mohammadi², Hossein Heidari Sharif Abad², Khashayar Rigi¹

¹Department of Agronomy, Islamic Azad University, Zahedan Branch, Zahedan, Iran

²Department of Agronomy, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

Article published on July 08, 2014

Key words: yield, harvest index, wheat, water deficiency.

Abstract

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the most important food resources. This plant is cultivated in a wide range in agricultural land of the world. To determine the effects of water deficiency in different final growth stages of two wheat cultivars (Chamran and Kavir) accompany with application of various elements such as potassium (K), zinc (Zn) and copper (Cu) on yield production, harvest index (HI), concentration of nitrogen (N) and other elements in grain were investigated under weather condition of Sistan. The experiment was carried out as Completely Randomized Block under split - split plot design with three replicates, on loamy fine sand soil during 2001-2002 and 2002- 2003 growth season at the Research Center Institute of Zabol, Zahak. The results of this study highlight that the respond of grain yields (GY), biological yield (BY), harvest index (HI) were analyzed. Halted water at 10.5-1, fix scale decreased BY, GY and HI at statistically significant level ($p>0.01$). But the Chamran produced more BY, GY than Kavir wheat cultivar.

*Corresponding Author: Hamid Reza Mobasser ✉ Hamidrezamobasser@gmail.com

Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the most important food resources. This plant is cultivated in a wide range in agricultural land of the world (Royo *et al.*, 2005). Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is the world's most important crop. Greater importance of bread wheat can be expected as a main source of food for solving the increasing population of the world. In arid and semiarid regions with Mediterranean climate, wheat crop usually encounter drought during the grain filling period. Wheat quality is controlled not only by genetic factors, but also by environmental conditions, especially the supply of water and fertility in soil that can change wheat quality under normal cropping condition (Triboi *et al.*, 2003). Wheat is the staple food for more than 35% of world population (Jing and chang, 2003). It is the leading source of vegetable protein in human food, having higher protein content than other major cereals. Wheat cultivated area in Egypt 2011 was 1.28 million hectare which producing 8.4 million tones (FAO, 2013). Different types of abiotic environmental stresses cause reduction in quantity and quality of wheat grain yield production (Jones, 2009). Among different types of abiotic environmental stresses, water stress is the most important factor in limiting wheat growth and grain yield formation (Ercoli *et al.*, 2007). In many regions of the world, drought stress is one of the most important factors that decrease agricultural crop production (Zahedi *et al.*, 2009). Due to the geographical situation, Iran's climate is Mediterranean and with respect to average participation (240 mm), is considered as arid and semi-dry regions of the world (Heidari- Sharifabad, 2008). Johari-pireuvatlou (2010) reported that wheat yield decreased from 25 to 85% under drought stress. Maralian *et al.*, (2010) reported that seed yield reduced with water stress as compared with the control. Water stress in such areas often occurs during these periods. Under such conditions, providing of carbohydrates that are needed for grain filling to form the economical yield is very important. The most important factor in reducing grain yield in such areas is grain weight reduction (Saeidi *et al.*,

2010). Grain yield is a complex trait and influenced by many factors. So, to enhance grain yield production in wheat, determining factors should be identified (Acreche and Slafer, 2006). Katerji *et al.*, (2009) reported that drought reduced the grain (37%) and straw (18%) yield. Exacerbate resource constraints of drought stress during the reduced grain filling period (Koocheki *et al.*, 2006), leaf senescence (Martinez *et al.*, 2003; Gregersen and Holm, 2007) and reduction in leaf photosynthesis (Yang and Zhang, 2006). The improvement of tolerance to drought has been a principal goal of the majority of breeding programmers for a long time, as a water deficit in certain stages of wheat growth is common for many wheat growing regions of the world (Farshadfar, 2012). Plant improvement for drought resistance is complicated by the lack of fast, reproducible screening techniques and the inability to routinely create defined and repeatable water stress conditions where a large amount of genotypes can be evaluated efficiently (Naroui Rad *et al.*, 2012). On the other hand, world demand for grain of wheat, as a stable food crop, is increasing. So, it is an urgent need to develop new genotypes with traits that could not only tolerate serious drought stress at various stages of growth but can also produce higher grain yield under drought stress conditions. Genetic variation among genotypes which is exist for various yield and yield related traits in wheat, is the most important issue in plant breeding programs (Talebi *et al.*, 2009). The ability of improving wheat cultivars that are able to maximum use of existing water and drought tolerant is the main objectives of increasing yield potential in semi-arid and dry areas (Ghasemali *et al.*, 2011). Phenotypic characters have been successfully used for genetic variation studies and cultivar development. Among these characters, morphological traits are commonly used to evaluate genetic variation because their measurements are simple (Najaphy *et al.*, 2012). Grain susceptibility to zinc deficiency, is less than other crops and deficiency of this factors in can reduce crop yield (Malekoti and Tehrani, 2000). Shahabifar and Mostashri (2002) have reported that by consumption of 40 kg zinc sulfate can be increased

wheat yield by as much as 473 kilograms per hectare. Potassium fertilizers use in Iran in recent years has been less attention. As the result attracted potassium content of the soils decrease rapidly due to cropping and harvesting more potassium from the soil, and limited fallow in farms (Tabatabaei, 2010). Narimani *et al* (2010) reported that microelements foliar application improve the effectiveness of microelements. Zinc is main composition of ribosome and is essential for their development. Amino acids accumulated in plant tissues and protein synthesis decline by zinc deficit. The aim of this study is effect of application of elements and variety on biological and grain yield and harvest index of wheat in zahak region.

Materials and methods

Location of experiment

To determine the effects of water deficiency in different final growth stages of two wheat cultivars (Chamran and Kavir) accompany with application of various elements such as potassium (K), zinc (Zn) and copper (Cu) on yield production, harvest index (HI), concentration of nitrogen (N) and other elements in grain were investigated under weather condition of Sistan.

Field experiment

The experiment was carried out as Completely Randomized Block under spilt - spilt plot design with three replicates, on loomy fine sand soil during 2001-2002 and 2002- 2003 growth season at the Research Center Institute of Zabol, Zahak. In this experiment, there were 3 type of irrigation, complete irrigation (w1), cut irrigation after pollination (10.5-1, Fix scale) (w2), and cut irrigation after milky stage of grain (10.5-5, Fix scale) (w3) as sub-plots , and fertilizer treatments included; non-fertilizer (e1), copper sulphate (CuSo₄) (e2), zinc sulphate (ZnSo₄) (e3) and potassium sulphate (K₂So₄) (e4) 0, 30, 40, 150kg/ha, respectively which is applied at sowing time as sub-sub plot.

Characters of plot

Each plot consisted of 6 rows planting a length of 6 meters and the distance between rows was 20 cm. Wheat planting density of 450 plants per square meter was intended.

Amount of phosphorus fertilizer

The amount of phosphorus fertilizer 150 kg/ha the source of triple superphosphate and the amount of 350 kg urea per hectare to supply nitrogen to the plant before planting was added to the soil.

Methods of measurement concentration of elements

Grain nitrogen concentration in the first experiment, the method Kejeldal obtained. Also seed samples obtained in the first experiment, the concentration of potassium, zinc, and copper film devices atomic absorption, respectively.

Data collect

Data collected were subjected to statistical analysis by using a computer program MSTATC. Least Significant Difference test (LSD) at 5 % probability level was applied to compare the differences among treatments` means.

Result and discussion

Biological yield

Analysis of variance showed that the effect of year on biological yield was not significant (Table 1). Analysis of variance showed that the effect of cut irrigation on biological yield was significant (Table 1). The maximum biological yield of treatments complete irrigation (15.574 t/ha) was obtained (Table 2). The minimum biological yield of treatments cut irrigation after pollination (13.449 t/ha) was obtained (Table 2). Analysis of variance showed that the effect of variety on biological yield was significant (Table 1). The maximum biological yield of treatments Chamran (4.47) was obtained (Table 3).

Grain yield

Analysis of variance showed that the effect of year on grain yield was not significant (Table 1). Analysis of variance showed that the effect of cut irrigation on

grain yield was significant (Table 1). The maximum grain yield of treatments complete irrigation (5.060 t/ha) was obtained (Table 2). The minimum grain yield of treatments cut irrigation after pollination (3.45t/ha) was obtained (Table 2). Analysis of

variance showed that the effect of variety on grain yield was significant (Table 1). The maximum biological yield of treatments chamran (14.75) was obtained (Table 3).

Table 1. Anova analysis of the wheat affected by cut irrigation and variety.

S.O.V	df	Biological yield	Grain yield	Harvest index
R	2	1.252 ^{ns}	0.288 ^{ns}	0.0128 ^{ns}
Year	1	36.997 ^{ns}	7.793 ^{ns}	101.758 ^{ns}
Error a	2	2.298	1.257	23.202
Cut Irrigation	2	54.414 ^{**}	33.019 ^{**}	553.169 ^{**}
Year * Cut Irrigation	2	1.887 ^{ns}	1.796 ^{ns}	96.469 ^{ns}
Error b	8	2.887	0.577	30.167
Variety	1	7.613 [*]	1.420 ^{**}	4.463 ^{ns}
Year * Variety	1	0.009 ^{ns}	0.414 ^{ns}	14.739 ^{ns}
Cut Irrigation* Variety	2	0.668 ^{ns}	0.657 ^{**}	9.230 ^{ns}
Cut Irrigation* Variety* Year	2	0.747 ^{ns}	0.373 ^{ns}	14.993 ^{ns}
Application of elements	3	2.182 ^{ns}	0.663 ^{**}	15.000 ^{ns}
Application of elements* Year	3	0.521 ^{ns}	0.097 ^{ns}	13.928 ^{ns}
Application of elements* Cut Irrigation	6	0.590 ^{ns}	0.259 ^{ns}	13.019 ^{ns}
Application of elements* Cut Irrigation* Year	6	2.118 ^{ns}	0.278 ^{ns}	15.340 ^{ns}
Application of elements* Variety	3	1.107 ^{ns}	0.098 ^{ns}	15.546 ^{ns}
Application of elements* Variety* Year	3	0.493 ^{ns}	0.090 ^{ns}	5.640 ^{ns}
Application of elements* Variety* Cut Irrigation	6	0.457 ^{ns}	0.157 ^{ns}	9.761 ^{ns}
Application of elements* Variety* Cut Irrigation* Year	6	1.720 ^{ns}	0.171 ^{ns}	8.744 ^{ns}
Error c	84	1.231	0.127	8.231
CV	-	7.62	8.16	9.51

*, **, ns: significant at p<0.05 and p<0.01 and non-significant, respectively. R: Repeat, Ms: Means of square, CV: Coefficient variation.

Table 2. Comparison of different traits affected by cut irrigation.

Cut Irrigation	Biological yield (t/ha)	Grain yield (t/ha)	Harvest index (%)
W1 (complete irrigation)	15.574a	5.060a	32.651a
W2 (cut irrigation after pollination)	13.449b	3.450b	26.298b
W3 (cut irrigation after milky stage)	14.633a	4.600a	31.547a

Any two means not sharing a common letter differ significantly from each other at 5% probability.

Table 3. Comparison of different traits affected by Variety.

Variety	Biological yield (t/ha)	Grain yield (t/ha)
V1 (Chamran)	4.47a	14.75a
V2 (Kavir)	4.24b	14.29b

Any two means not sharing a common letter differ significantly from each other at 5% probability.

Harvest index

Analysis of variance showed that the effect of year on harvest index was not significant (Table 1). Analysis of variance showed that the effect of cut irrigation on

harvest index was significant (Table 1). The maximum harvest index of treatments complete irrigation (32.651) was obtained (Table 2). The minimum harvest index of treatments cut irrigation after

pollination (26.298) was obtained (Table 2). Analysis of variance showed that the effect of variety on harvest index was not significant (Table 1).

References

Acreche MM, Slafer GA. 2006. Grain weight response to increases in number of grains in wheat in a Mediterranean area. *Field Crops Research* **98**, 52-59.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0960258500003032>

Ercoli L, Lulli L, Mariotti M, Masoni A, Arduini I. 2007. Post anthesis dry matter and nitrogen dynamics in durum wheat as affected by nitrogen supply and soil water availability. *European Journal of Agronomy*. **28**, 138-147.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2006.06.021>.

Farshadfar E. 2012. Application of integrated selection index and rank sum for screening drought tolerant genotypes in bread wheat. *International J. Agric. Crop Sci.* **4**, 325-332.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0960258500004141>

Ghasemali N, Soheil Z, Mohammad SM. 2011. Study of effects late season drought stress in wheat cultivars using stress susceptibility, tolerance indices and canopy temperature depression (CTD). *Advances in Environmental Biology* **5**, 3929-3933. 88-92.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-2006-959532>.

Gregersen PL, Holm PH. 2007. Transcriptome analysis of senescence in the flag leaf of wheat. *Plant Biotechnology* **5**, 192-206.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2006.06.021>.

Heidari-Sharifabad H. 2008. Drought mitigation strategies for the agriculture sector. The 10th Iranian Congress of Crop Sci. **12**, 18-20.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/j.20500416.2008.tb00300>
[0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/j.20500416.2008.tb00300)

Jing RL, Chang XP. 2003. Genetic Diversity in Wheat (*T. aestivum* L.) Germplasm Resources with

Drought Resistance. *Acta Bot. Boreal-Occident Sin.*, **23**, 410-416.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.298-012-0079-5/v10298-012-0079-5>

Johari-Pireivatlou M. 2010. Effect of Soil Water Stress on Yield and Proline Content of Four Wheat Lines. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* **9**, 036-040.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10298-012-0734-x>.

Jones MG. 2009. Using resources from the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* to understand effects of abiotic stress. *Salinity Water Stress* **44**, 129-132.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1992.0011183X003200030033X>]

Katerji N, Mastrorilli M, Hoorn JW, Lahmerd FZ, Hamdyd A, Oweise T. 2009. Durum wheat and barley productivity in saline-drought environments. *Eur. J. Agron.* **31**1-9.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/1.2870093>.

Koocheki AR, Yazdansepas A, Nikkhah HR. 2006. Effects of terminal drought on grain yield and some morphological traits in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes. *Iranian Journal of Agriculture Sciences*. **8**, 14-29.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-8981\(71\)90467-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-8981(71)90467-0)

Malakoti M, Mehdi Tehrani M. 2000. The role of micronutrients in increasing the yield and quality of crops (macro effects of micro elements). Second edition. Publishing Office, University of Science, 299 p.

<http://dx.doi.org/2006.101.105>

Maralian H, Ebadi A, Didar R, Haji-Eghrari B. 2010. Influence of water deficit stress on wheat grain yield and proline accumulation rate. *Afr.J. Agric. Res.*, **5**(2), 286-289.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0308-521X\(01\)00023-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0308-521X(01)00023-3)

Martinez DE, Luquez VM, Bartoli CG, Guiamét JJ. 2003. Persistence of photosynthetic components

and photochemical efficiency in ears of water-stressed wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Physiology Plant*. **119**, 1-7.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(99\)00219-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(99)00219-6)

Najaphy A, Ashrafi Parchin R, Farshadfar E. 2012. Comparison of phenotypic and molecular characterizations of some important wheat cultivars and advanced breeding lines. *Aust J Crop Sci* **6(2)**, 326-332.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ijbcs.v3i6.53147>

Narimani H, Rahimi MM, Ahmadikhah A, Vaezi B.(2010). Study on the effects of foliar spray of micronutrient on yield and yield components of durum wheat. *Arch. Appl. Sci. Res.*, **6**, 168-176.

<http://dx.doi.org/221960173>

Naroui Rad R, Abdul Kadir M, Jaafar HZE, Gement DC. 2012. Physiological and biochemical relationship under drought stress in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *African J. Biotech.* **11**, 1574-1578.

<http://dx.doi.org/1435028>

Royo C, Miloudi MM, Fonze ND, Arraus JL., Pfeiffer WH, Slafer GA. 2005. Durum wheat breeding current approaches and future strategies. Vol **1**. Editors: Food product press.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2005.08.001>

Saeidi M, Moradi F, Ahmadi A, Spehri R, Najafian G, Shabani A. 2010. The effects of terminal water stress on physiological characteristics and sink-source relations in two bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars. *Iranian Journal of Crop Sciences*. **12**, 392-408.

<http://dx.doi.org/17260258>

Shahabifar G, Mostashari M. 2002. Critical point of iron and zinc for wheat production in Qazvin region. Seventh Congress of Agronomy Abstracts. Seed and plant improvement institute. Branch. Iran. 735 p.

<http://dx.doi.org/S0926669007001173>

Tabatabai A, Qureshi M, Dehghani-Zadeh F. 2010. The effect of different amounts of nitrogen and potash fertilizers on yield and some yield components of triticale. Fifth National Conference on New Ideas in agriculture.

<http://dx.doi.org/S0718-95162012000300018&script>

Talebi R, Fayaz F, Mohammadi Naji A. 2009. Effective selection criteria for assessing drought stress tolerance in durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.). *General and Appl Plant Physiol* **35**, 64-74

Triboi E, Martre P, Triboi-Blondel AM. 2003. Environmentally-induced changes in protein composition in developing grains of wheat are related to changes in total protein content. *J. Exp. Bot.* **54**, 1731-1742.

<http://dx.doi.org/S0926669007001173>

Yang J, Zhang J. 2006. Grain filling of cereals under soil drying. *New Phytologist*. **169**, 223-236.

<http://dx.doi.org/S0718-95162012000300018&script>

Zahedi H, Noormohammadi G, Shirani-Rad AH, Habibi D, Mashhadi-Akbar-Boojar M. 2009. The effects of Zeolite and foliar applications of selenium on growth, yield and yield components of three canola cultivars under drought stress. *World Appl. Sci. J.* **7**, 255-262.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/p>