



RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

Potency evaluation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* as biocontrol agents for root-knot nematodes in Iran

H. Rahanandeh^{1*}, M. Moshaiedy²

¹Member of Department of Agronomy and Plant Breeding, Faculty of agriculture, Rasht Branch, Islamic Azad University. P. O. Box 4415866865, Lahijan, Iran

²Young Researchers Club of Rasht, Islamic Azad University, Branch Rasht. Iran

Key words:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/4.12.222-228>

Article published on June 28, 2014

Abstract: Biocontrol agent, Nematodes, *Pseudomonas* sp.

Biological control is considered as new efficient method that becomes widely used for controlling plant parasitic nematodes, as aim to decrease the extent of environment degradation and the effect of the excessive toxic nematicides. So, this study was done to investigate the role of some bacterial genera as biocontrol agent against *Meloidogyne incognita*. A number of bacterial species, isolated from root-knot nematodes conductive soil of different localities Guilan Province in Iran, were evaluated for suppression of *Meloidogyne incognita*. Nine isolates bacterial isolates significantly reduced nematode larvae population in soil belonging to *Meloidogyne* spp. Death percentage of nematode larvae ranged from 20.7% to 76.25%. Five potent bacterial isolates with higher nematocidal activity were selected and identified as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* using morphological and biochemical diagnosis tests The study indicated that *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* were potent as bio-control agents for root-knot nematodes, the production of local Iran inoculums of both bacterial species as a safe bio-control agents for the root-knot disease is possible.

* Corresponding Author: H. Rahanandeh ✉ rahanandeh20@yahoo.com

Introduction

The continuing world population pressure ensures the need to maximize the average yields of most major crops (Ashoub and Amara, 2010). Crops production in greenhouses is a method for economically maintaining a warm environment during cool seasons and to protect crops, especially vegetables, from unfavorable weather conditions. However, root-knot nematodes and soil borne fungi greatly affect plant growth and yield in greenhouses (LI Bin *et al.*, 2005). Root-knot nematodes are widespread and important pests of both annual and perennial crops in Iran and other countries, where they cause extensive damage to fruit and vegetable crops (Mohamed, 2009). The infection starts with root penetration of second stage juveniles hatched in soil from eggs encapsulated in egg masses laid by the females on the infected roots. The interaction between the root infecting fungus and the nematode results in the reduction of seed emergence and increase in both galling and nematode fecundity, with the results that the population of *M. javanica* increased in the presence of *Rhizoctonia solani*. Simultaneously, the disease development caused by soil-borne fungal pathogens was also stimulated by nematode on soy bean (Dawar *et al.*, 2008).

Management of the disease has relied largely upon pesticides application. The frequented and extensive use of chemical insecticides has resulted in developing a wide spread resistance of certain pathogens to a range of pesticides (Butter *et al.*, 2003). The divesting implication of pesticides resistance developing in insect populations combined with the increased global interest to reduce the input of harmful pesticides has encouraged research into the development of environmentally benign strategies for pest control including the use of microbial species (Atkins *et al.*, 2004). Bacteria, yeast and filamentous fungi are common inhabitants of soil and plant surfaces, by various mechanisms, they may affect the growth of pathogens and reduce the disease they cause. The predominant (Leite *et al.*, 2005) nematodes in soils and roots of crops were of the genus *Meloidogyne* (Ibewiro *et al.*, 2000). The

biological control of root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne java* was investigated using several strains including *Trichoderma harzianum*, *Metarizium anisopliae* and *Baeuvaria* sp. (Small *et al.*, 2005). Limited numbers of bacterial species have been reported as biological control agents for root-knot nematode disease. Some bacterial species with nematocidal activity has been used with some success for controlling against root-knot diseases including *Streptomyces* spp., *Serratia* spp., *Bacillus* spp., *Azotobacter chroococcum*, *Rhizobium*, *Corynebacterium* and *Pseudomonas* (Hallmann *et al.*, 2001).

Hanna *et al.* (1999) evaluated *Pseudomonas fluorescens* for the control of *Meloidogyne incognita* on tomato plants. They found that the percentage of gall formation and root gall index were decreased when the bacteria were introduced prior to nematodes. Siddiqui and Shaukat, (2002) noted that *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* reduced *M. javanica* juvenile penetration into tomato plants. In 2004 El-Hamshary *et al.* found that *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* affected *M. incognita* juveniles' survival *in vitro* study, and the mortality percentages of the nematode were dependent on the bacterial concentration and exposure time. Moreover, Siddiqui and Shaukat in 2004 concluded that fluorescent pseudomonads induce systemic resistance against *Meloidogyne javanica* via signal transduction pathways which is independent of salicylic acid accumulation in roots. Concerning *Rhizobium*, Sidiqqi *et al.* in 2001 noted that, this genus can significantly reduce egg hatching and caused mortality of *M. javanica in vitro*.

In a screening programed, two bacterial isolates of 33, isolated from the rhizosphere of wild and cultivated plants caused significant (>50%) mortality of *Meloidogyne javanica*, the root-knot nematode juveniles *in vitro*. In the same study, selected strains of the antagonistic rhizobacteria reduced nematode penetration and subsequent root-knot infection in mungbean (Hamid *et al.* 2003). The objectives of the

present study were: isolation of local bacterial strains that suppress root-knot nematodes, identification of the most potent isolates and evaluation of the potent antagonistic isolates for controlling of *Meloidogyne* under *in vitro* conditions.

Materials and methods

Sampling and nematode extraction

Samples were collected in infested orchards. Each sample consisted of dozens of tiny sub samples collected at 15 - 25 cm depth and 20 cm distance from the crown. The samples, one and a half pounds of plant and ten gram plant roots, were later transferred to the laboratory.

The nematode separation method was used (Jenkins 1964), and centrifugal separation was performed according to the method of Coolen and D' herde (1972), from collected roots. Isolation of antagonistic bacterial strains: A total of 40 bacterial strains were isolated from the rhizosphere of tea plants from the Guilan province (North of Iran). All isolates were cultured on both nutrient agar and King's B media. In brief, one gram of soil was suspended in 100 ml sterilized distilled H₂O containing one gram of gelatin and then shaken for 30 minutes at 70 rpm. The resultant suspensions were diluted up to 1x10⁷ and streaked on agar media and kept at 27±1°C for 72 h. Bacterial colonies were purified and stored at 4 °C for further investigation.

In vitro evaluation of antagonistic activities of the bacterial strains against root-knot nematodes

Bacterial suspensions were prepared in sterilized distilled water adding 1 ml from each suspension to 100 ml nutrient broth or King's B broth, later allowed to grow under shaking for 48h at 25°C. The cultures were centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 15 min and the supernatants were evaluated for anti-nematicidal activities of tested bacteria against *M. javanica*. To perform the test, a total of 30 *M. javanica* active juveniles were added into 1 ml of each bacterial supernatant and incubated at 27-29°C for 48 h. Sterilized distilled water was used as control. The experiment was conducted in a randomized

completely design in three replicates and following formula was used to calculate percentage of nematode juvenile mortality, as normalized on controls.

$$\text{Mortality (\%)} = \frac{[C_1 - C_2]}{C_1} \times 100$$

Where, C₁ is the number of live nematodes juveniles in control treatments and C₂ is the number of live nematodes juvenile counted in other treatments.

Phenotypic characteristics of the bacterial strains

The most effective bacterial strains were selected and their phenotypic features were characterized based on the standard bacteriological methods (Schaad *et al.*, 2001).

Results

Isolation of antagonistic bacterial strains

Antagonistic activities of the challenged bacterial strains were determined based on juvenile mortality. The strains nematicidal activities were quite variable ranking from 20.7 to 75.24%. Among the 5 tested *Pseudomonas* strains, 4 strains of *P. fluorescens* (RH-79 and RH-37) showed high levels of antagonistic activity (Group A). Within this group, *P. fluorescens* biovar VI (RH-79) ranked first causing 75.24% of juvenile mortality (Table 1). Strains RH-25 and RH-37 showed 63.58% and 70.44% nematicidal activities, respectively.

Phenotypic features determination of the bacterial strains

Based on rates of nematicidal activities of the bacterial strains, 5 isolates were chosen for further characterization, based on Schaad *et al.*, 2001 (Table 2).

Discussion

Biological control of soil-borne pathogens by rhizosphere bacteria is notoriously susceptible to alterations in experimental conditions (Deacon, 1991; Weller, 1988). Among rhizosphere nematode antagonists, the Gram+ *Pasteuria penetrans* is an antagonist specialized against root knot nematodes (Daudi *et al.* 1990; Kloepper and Tuzun, 1995; Shanthi *et al.*, 1998). Beside this bacterium, also

nematode trapping fungi can reduce populations of nematodes (Mohotti *et al.*, 1998).

In this study, five isolates belonging to the genus *Pseudomonas* were found to possess a pronounced nematocidal activity. Almost all selected isolates

showed similarities in diagnostic properties with *P. fluorescens*, whereas only Rh-25 was identified as *P. aeruginosa*. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *P. aeruginosa* showed variable antagonistic activities against *M. incognita*, reducing its juvenile in range by 40.26 – 75.24 %.

Table 1. *In vitro* antagonistic activities of 34 rhizosphere bacteria of tea plants against *Pratylenchus loosi* based on juvenile mortality.

Strain	Mortality (%)	Statistical group
Rh-79	75.24	A
Rh-37	70.44	A
Rh-39	52.98	B
Rh-19	40.26	C
Rh-44	34.24	D
Rh-94	24.25	DE
Rh-31	20.01	E
Control	15.63	F

Data with different letters show significant differences based on Duncan's multiple range test ($\alpha = 0.05$).

These findings are new for Province Guilan in Iran. In previous studies (Shanthi *et al.*, 2003) soil application of *P. fluorescence* similarly reduced soil and root population's nematodes. Fluorescent products by *Pseudomonas* were found to have inhibitory effect on hatching and penetration of nematodes and on pigeon pea roots colonization (Siddiqui *et al.*, 2005).

Based on statistical differences observed the isolates of *P. fluorescence* showed different effects, as these bacteria affected nematodes conferring them a different appearance and colors, ranging from brown, to black some specimens appearing also degenerated.

Table 2. Characteristics of eight antagonistic *Pseudomonas* strains against *M. incognita*.

Properties	RH-25	RH-37	RH-39	RH-79	RH-19
Fluorescent pigment	-	+	+	+	+
Oxidase	-	-	-	-	-
Pectolytic activity	+	-	-	-	-
Nitrate to nitrite	+	+	-	-	-
Gelatin liquefaction	+	+	-	+	+
Growth at 41°C	+	+	+	-	-
Growth at 4°C	-	-	-	+	+
Growth at pH 5.7	-	+	-	-	-
Growth in 7% NaCl	-	-	-	+	+
Growth on: Glucose	+	+	+	+	+
D-galactose	-	+	-	+	+
Saccharate	-	-	-	+	+
Xylose	-	+	+	+	+
Arabinose	-	+	+	+	+
Sorbitol	-	+	-	+	+
Mannitol	+	+	+	+	+
Arginine	-	-	+	-	-
L-tryptophan	+	+	+	+	-

+: Positive Reaction; -: Negative Reaction.

According to Westcott and Kluepfel (1993), prior applications of *P. fluorescens* prevented egg hatching and affected juveniles due to exotoxin formation and disruption of normal cellular nematode metabolism. It is important to note that some of these bacteria induce plant systemic resistance for indirect control of soil pathogens, in addition to exhibited antibiosis (Ashoub and Amara, 2010).

Some bacterial species with nematicidal actuality have been applied for control of root-knot nematodes:

among them *Streptomyces* spp., *Serratia* spp., *Bacillus* spp., *Azotobacter chroococcum*, *Rhizobium*, *Corynebacterium* and *Pseudomonas*. Eapen reported that treating pepper seedlings with isolates of *P. fluorescens* reduced the detriment effects due to *Meloidogyne incognita*. Similarly, insemination of wheat plants with *P. fluorescens* terminated in considerable lower nematode populations (Zeinat *et al.*, 2009).

Table 3. The degree of nematicidal activities of effective antagonistic bacteria based on % of juvenile mortality.

Bacterial strain name	Mortality (%)	Significance
<i>P. fluorescent</i> bv. I (Rh-79)	75.24	A
<i>P. fluorescent</i> bv. IV (Rh-37)	70.44	A
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> (Rh-25)	63.58	AB
<i>P. fluorescent</i> bv. V (Rh-39)	52.98	B
Control (distilled water)	15.63	C

It is significant to point that rhizosphere of antagonistic plants may represent beneficial sources of potential biological control agents for nematodes (Kloepper *et al.*, 1992) as suggested by prevention effects of *P. fluorescens* on *M. incognita*. However, this biovar proceeded from radish rhizosphere host for *Meloidogyne* spp. (Ashoub and Amara, 2010).

The results herein showed may represent a fraction of the effects related to the complex relationships among different types of microorganisms in the rhizosphere. PGPR species alone or with *Rhizobium* enhanced plant growth both in *M. javanica* and inoculated plants. Inoculation with *Rhizobium* spp. caused an increase in plant growth than the effect caused by any species of PGPR in nematode-inoculated plants. Combined use of *Rhizobium* with other species of PGPR also decreased galling and nematode propagation than their single inoculation (Siddiqui *et al.*, 2007).

These preliminary results provide a strong incentive for further experiments on the use of rhizosphere bacteria in the biocontrol of plant parasitic nematodes. If the potential of these strains is

confirmed, they could be used in the future in greenhouse and field conditions, to develop alternative, low cost and environment friendly technologies.

Acknowledgment

We are grateful to Branch Rasht, Islamic Azad University for providing financial support in order to carry out this research work.

References

- Atkins SD, Mauchline TH, Kerry BR, Hirsch PR.** 2004. Development of a transformation system for the nematophagous fungus *Pochonia chlamydosporia*. *Mycological Research*. **168**, 654-661.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0953756204009906>
- Butters JA, Davi KU, Mohan CM, Sridevi V.** 2003. Screening for tolerance to bavistin, a benzimidazole containing benzimidazole-2-yl carbamate in *Beauveria bassiana*. *Mycological Research* **107**, 260-266.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0953756203007196>

- Coolen WA, D'herde CJ.** 1972. A method for the quantitative extraction of nematodes from plant tissue. Ghent agric. Res. Cent., Belgium. 77 p.
- Dawar S, Tariq M, Zakim MJ.** 2008. Application of *Bacillus* species in control of *Meloidogyne javanica* (treub) chitwood on cowpea and mash bean. Pak. J. Bot. **40(1)**, 439-444.
- Daudi AT, Channer AG, Ahmed R, Gowen SR.** 1990. *Pasteuria penetrance* as a biological agent of *Meloidogyne incognita* in the field in Malawi. Brighton Crop Protection Conference-Pest and Diseases. 253-257.
- Deacon JW.** 1991. Significance of ecology in the development of biocontrol agents against soil-borne plant pathogens. Biocontrol Science and Technology **1**, 5-20.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09583159109355181>
- Duncan LW.** 1991. Current options for nematode management. Annual Review Phytopathology. **29**, 469-490.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.py.29.090191.002345>
- El-Hamshary OIM, El-Nagdi WMA, Youssef MMA.** 2004. Genetically studies and antagonistic effects of a newly bacterial fusant against *Meloidogyne incognita* root-knot nematode, infecting sunflower and plant pathogen *Fusarium oxysporum*. J. Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (NRC) **2(2)**, 233-246.
- Hallmann J, Quadt-Hallmann A, Miller WG, ASikora R, Lindow SE.** 2001. Endophytic colonization of plants by the biocontrol agent *Rhizobium etli* G12 in relation to *Meloidogyn incognita* infection. Phytopathology **91**, 415-420.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/PHTO.2001.91.4.415>
- Hanna AI, Riad FW, Tawfik AE.** 1999. Efficacy of antagonistic rhizobacteria on the control of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* in tomato plants. Egyptian J. Agriculture Research, **77 (4)**, 1467-1476.
- Hamid M, Siddiqui IA, Shahid Shaukat S.** 2003. Improvement of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* CHAO biocontrol activity against root-knot nematode by the addition of ammonium molybdate. Letters in Applied Microbiology **36**, 239-244.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-765X.2003.01299.x>
- Ibewiro B, Sanginga N, Vanlauwe B, Merckx R.** 2000. Influence of phytoparasitic 2 nematodes on symbiotic N fixation in tropical herbaceous legume crops. Biol. Fertil. Soil, **31**, 254-260.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s003740050654>
- Jenkins WR.** 1964. A rapid centrifugal-flotation technique for separating nematodes from soil. Plant Disease Reporter **48**, 692.
- Kloepper JW, Rodriguez-Kábana R, McInroy JA, Young RW.** 1992. Rhizosphere bacteria antagonistic to soybean cyst (*Heterodera glycines*) and root-knot (*Meloidogyne incognita*) nematodes: identification by fatty acid analysis and frequency of biocontrol activity. Plant Soil. **139**, 75-84.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00012844>
- Leite LG, Alves SB, Batista A, Roberts DW.** 2005. Simple inexpensive media for mass production of three entomophthorean fungi. Mycological Research **109**, 326-334.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0953756205002406>
- Li Bin XIE, Guan-lin SA, Coosemans J.** 2005. Suppression of *Meloidogyne javanica* by antagonistic and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Journal of Zhejiang University Science 6B. **6**, 496-501.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1631/jzus.2005.B0496>
- Mohamed ZK, El-Sayed SA, Radwan TEE, El-Wahab GSA.** 2009. Potency Evaluation of *Serratia marcescens* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* as Biocontrol Agents for Root-knot Nematodes in Egypt.

Journal of Applied Sciences Research **4(1)**, 93- 102.

Mohotti KM, Briscoe BR, Gowen SR, Bridge J. 1998. Are entomopathogenic nematodes susceptible to infection by the plant parasitic nematode biocontrol organism, *Pasteuria penetrans*? Third International Symposium of Afro-Asian Society of Nematologists, Coimbatore, India.

Schaad NW, Jones JB, Chum W. 2001. Laboratory Guide for Identification of Plant Pathogenic Bacteria. 3rd ed. Amer. Phytopathology. Soc. St. Paul Minnesota, USA. 373.

Shanthi A, Raieswari S, Sivakumar CV, Mehta UK. 1998. Soil application of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* for the control of root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* on grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.). Nematology: Challenges and opportunities in 21st century. Proceeding of the third international symposium of Afro-Asian Society of Nematologists (TISAASN), Sugar-cane Breeding Institute (ICAR), Coimbatore, India, 203-206 P.

Shanthi A, Rajendran G, Sivakumar M. 2003. Biomangement of lesion nematodes in banana. 6th International PGPR Workshop, 5-10 October, Calcutta, India.

Siddiqui ZA, Baghel G, Akhtar MS. 2007. Biocontrol of *Meloidogyne javanica* by Rhizobium and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on lentil. World Journal Microbiology Biotechnology **23**, 435-441.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11274-006-9244-z>

Siddiqui IA, Zareen A, Shauket SS, Zaki MJ. 2001. Evaluation of Rhizobia for control of

Meloidogyne javanica in *Vigna mungo*. Pakistan J. Biological Sciences **4(9)**, 1124-1125.

Siddiqui IA, Shaukat SS. 2002. Rhizobacteria-mediated induction of systemic resistance (ISR) in tomato against *Meloidogyne javanica*. Phytopathology **150**, 469-473.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.14390434.2002.00784.x>

Siddiqui IA, Shaukat SS. 2004. Systemic resistance in tomato induced by biocontrol bacteria against the root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne javanica* is independent of salicylic acid production. J. Phytopathology **152(1)**, 48-4.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.14390434.2003.00800.x>

Siddiqui S, Siddiqui ZA, Iqbal A. 2005. Evaluation of fluorescent *Pseudomonads* and *Bacillus* isolates for the biocontrol of a wilt disease complex of pigeon pea. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology **21(5)**, 729-732.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11274-004-4799-z>

Weller DM. 1988. Biological control of soilborne plant pathogens in the rhizosphere with bacteria. Annual Review of Phytopathology **26**, 379-407.

Westcott SWI, Kluepfel DA. 1993. Inhibition of *Cricodemell axenoplax* egg hatch by *Pseudomonas aureofaciens*. Phytopathology **83**, 1245-1249.

Zeinat K, Mohammed SA, Radwan TEE, Hada GSAW. 2009. Potency Evaluation of *Serratia marcescens* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* as biocontrol agents for root-knot nematodes in Egypt. Journal of Applied Sciences Research **4(1)**, 93-102.