



## Evaluation of growth and morphological traits of strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa* Duch.) cultivars under field conditions

Hadith Kamangar<sup>1</sup>, Asad Rokhzadi<sup>2\*</sup>, Sadra Hesami<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Young Researchers and Elites Club, Sanandaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Sanandaj, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agronomy and Plant Breeding, Sanandaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Sanandaj, Iran

Article published on February 03, 2014

**Key words:** Correlation, morphology, strawberry, plant yield.

### Abstract

Seven cultivars of strawberry (Paros, Ventana, Queen Elisa, Camarosa, Selva, Mrak and Kurdistan) were evaluated under field conditions. Different growth and morphological parameters and plant yield were studied in this experiment. The greatest amount of plant yield was obtained from Queen Elisa cultivar and the lowest rate of plant yield was recorded by Selva cultivar. Plant yield was significantly correlated with flowers and fruits number, whereas the correlation between plant yield and fruit weight was low and not significant. Furthermore fruit weight was negatively correlated with flowers and fruits number indicating a reverse relationship between the number and the weight of fruits suggesting that strawberry plant yield is a function of fruits number rather than fruit size. In conclusion selection for high yielding strawberries based on high numbers of fruits and flowers can be more effective rather than fruit size or weight.

\*Corresponding Author: Asad Rokhzadi ✉ [asadrokh@yahoo.com](mailto:asadrokh@yahoo.com)

## Introduction

The cultivated strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa* Duch.) is a unique plant species with highly valuable fruits rich in vitamin C, folic acid, potassium, manganese, anthocyanins, flavonoids, fiber and sugars (Perez *et al.*, 1997; Hannum, 2004; Ozuygur *et al.*, 2006; Da Silva Pinto *et al.*, 2007; Azzini *et al.*, 2010; Caulet *et al.*, 2013). Strawberry is grown throughout the world but the United States is the world's largest producer of strawberries, producing nearly 1.3 million metric tons in 2010 and accounting for 30 percent of the total world strawberry production (Morgan, 2012). In Iran the main region of strawberry cultivation is the province of Kurdistan, west of Iran. Strawberry is one of the most economical and important fruit products in Kurdistan province. This province ranks first in strawberry production among provinces in Iran (Anonymous, 2013). The most common cultivated strawberry genotype by the farmers in the region is Kurdistan local cultivar. The fruits of this variety are small in size with an excellent taste and odor but with a soft tissue resulting in a remarkable perishes during handling and processing. In the recent years different cultivars of strawberry with various productivity and different growth traits have been introduced in the region.

Evaluating the growth and agronomic traits of strawberry varieties and determining the interrelationships between the traits under different climatic conditions can be useful in breeding programs, therefore this study was aimed to assess the morphology, yield and growth characteristics of strawberry cultivars under climatic conditions of Sanandaj region, in Kurdistan.

## Materials and methods

### *Field conditions*

This experiment was carried out during 2011-2012 cropping season at the agricultural research station of Gerizeh, Sanandaj, west of Iran, located at 35° 16' N and 47° 1' E with an altitude of 1405 m above sea level. The long-term values of mean temperature and

annual rainfall in this region are 13.35 °C and 471 mm respectively. Soil texture of experimental site was clay loam and the electrical conductivity and pH were 1.1 dS/m and 7.7 respectively. The field was fertilized before the planting of strawberry seedlings and the operation of seedlings planting was done in autumn 2010.

### *Experimental design and plant material*

The arrangement of this experiment was a randomized complete block design with 3 replications and 7 treatments or strawberry cultivars including: Paros, Ventana, Queen Elisa, Camarosa, Selva, Mrak and Kurdistan local cultivar. The strawberry seedlings were obtained from Agricultural & Natural Resources Research Center of Kurdistan. The cultivars of Mrak and Selva are classified as day neutral (DN) whereas the other 5 genotypes are classified as short-day (SD) varieties. Each experimental plot contained two planting rows with 6 plants on each row and 40 cm space between plants.

### *Data collection and sampling*

During the experiment different parameters including leaves number per plant, leaf area, petiole length, inflorescences number per plant, flowers number per inflorescence, fruits number per plant, fruit weight, fruit size and plant yield were determined.

### *Statistical analysis*

The collected data of the experiment were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and means comparison operation was conducted by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at  $P \leq 0.05$  level. The statistical calculations were performed by SAS and Minitab softwares.

## Results and discussion

Results showed that differences among cultivars were significant regarding all measured parameters (Table 1).

*Leaves number*

The highest numbers of leaves per plant (29.6 & 26.8 leaves/plant) were recorded by Ventana and Kurdistan cultivars respectively and the lowest

number of leaves per plant (13.3 & 14.4 leaves/plant) were obtained from Mrak and Selva cultivars (Table 2).

**Table 1.** Analysis of variance of different strawberry traits.

| Source of variation | df | Mean squares         |                     |                     |                     |                     |                      |                    |                     |                       |
|---------------------|----|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                     |    | LN                   | LA                  | PL                  | INP                 | FNI                 | FrN                  | FrW                | FrS                 | Y                     |
| Replication         | 2  | 0.96 <sup>ns</sup>   | 295.6 <sup>ns</sup> | 3.42 <sup>ns</sup>  | 0.302 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.753 <sup>ns</sup> | 17.54 <sup>ns</sup>  | 3.39 <sup>ns</sup> | 2.88 <sup>ns</sup>  | 0.1 <sup>ns</sup>     |
| Cultivar            | 6  | 112.32 <sup>**</sup> | 1571.7 <sup>*</sup> | 14.97 <sup>**</sup> | 8.173 <sup>**</sup> | 3.076 <sup>*</sup>  | 378.16 <sup>**</sup> | 9.17 <sup>**</sup> | 13.14 <sup>**</sup> | 26288.8 <sup>**</sup> |
| Error               | 12 | 4.61                 | 390.2               | 1.85                | 0.871               | 0.784               | 11.97                | 1.66               | 2.24                | 0.7                   |
| CV (%)              |    | 10.3                 | 26.7                | 8.1                 | 15.5                | 22.3                | 15.2                 | 14.5               | 16                  | 0.5                   |

ns, \* and \*\*: Non significant and significant at 5 and 1% levels of probability, respectively. LN: leaves number per plant, LA: leaf area, PL: petiole length, INP: inflorescences number per plant, FNI: flowers number per inflorescence, FrN: fruits number per plant, FrW: fruit weight, FrS: fruit size, Y: plant yield.

*Leaf area*

The cultivars Mrak and Camarosa were statistically superior than other cultivars in terms of leaf area whereas the lowest leaf areas were recorded by Kurdistan and Ventana cultivars (Table 2).

*Petiole length*

According to the analysis of variance results the cultivar effect on petiole length was significant. The highest and the lowest rates of petiole length were recorded by Camarosa and Paros cultivars respectively (Table 2).

**Table 2.** Means comparison of different traits in strawberry cultivars.

| Cultivar    | LN     | LA (cm <sup>2</sup> ) | PL (cm) | INP   | FNI  | FrN   | FrW (g) | FrS (cm <sup>3</sup> ) | Y (g/plant) |
|-------------|--------|-----------------------|---------|-------|------|-------|---------|------------------------|-------------|
| Paros       | 17.4de | 61.1bc                | 12.5c   | 4.5c  | 3.8b | 14.1c | 9.53ab  | 9.83ab                 | 111.9f      |
| Ventana     | 29.6a  | 52.7c                 | 16.2b   | 6.4b  | 3.3b | 18.0c | 10.6a   | 11.7a                  | 163.0c      |
| Queen Elisa | 23.0bc | 79.4abc               | 17.9ab  | 7.7ab | 6.2a | 43.9a | 9.23ab  | 10.2a                  | 378.6a      |
| Camarosa    | 20.9cd | 97.9ab                | 19.9a   | 6.1bc | 3.1b | 16.6c | 10.5a   | 11.2a                  | 150.3d      |
| Selva       | 14.4ef | 78.5abc               | 16.8b   | 4.4c  | 4.6b | 16.1c | 7.60bc  | 7.17bc                 | 103.2g      |
| Mrak        | 13.3f  | 104.8a                | 16.5b   | 4.7c  | 4.4b | 17.8c | 9.40ab  | 9.75ab                 | 139.9e      |
| Kurdistan   | 26.8ab | 43.1c                 | 17.6ab  | 8.6a  | 4.2b | 33.0b | 5.67c   | 5.92c                  | 170.3b      |

Different letters in each column indicate significant differences at  $P \leq 0.05$  according to Duncan's multiple range test. LN: leaves number per plant, LA: leaf area, PL: petiole length, INP: inflorescences number per plant, FNI: flowers number per inflorescence, FrN: fruits number per plant, FrW: fruit weight, FrS: fruit size, Y: plant yield.

*Inflorescences number*

Comparison of inflorescences mean number showed that the greatest numbers of inflorescence per plant were obtained from Kurdistan and Queen Elisa cultivars (Table 2).

*Flowers number per inflorescence*

Results of means comparison calculations indicated that the maximum number of produced flowers per inflorescence was recorded by Queen Elisa cultivar and other cultivars with no significant difference were placed in a single statistical group (Table 2).

*Fruits number*

Mean comparison of fruits number per plant revealed that Queen Elisa cultivar produced the highest number of fruits per plant as compared with other cultivars (Table 2).

*Average fruit weight and size*

The lowest amounts of fruit weight and size (5.67 g and 5.92 cm<sup>3</sup> respectively) were recorded by Kurdistan cultivar (Table 2).

*Plant yield*

The greatest amount of yield (378.6 g/plant) was produced from Queen Elisa cultivar which was significantly superior than other genotypes and the

lowest yield was recorded by Selva cultivar significantly different from other cultivars (Table 2).

*Correlation coefficient analysis*

The calculated correlation coefficients among the studied traits are shown in Table 3. The plant yield was significantly and positively correlated with inflorescences number/plant, flowers number/inflorescence and fruits number/plant. Ukalska *et al.*, (2006) similarly reported a strong and positive correlation between strawberry yield and flowers number/inflorescence. On the other hand the correlation coefficients between plant yield and some traits such as fruit weight and fruit size were not significant (Table 3).

**Table 3.** Correlation coefficients among different traits of strawberry cultivars.

|     | LN                  | LA                  | PL                  | INP                 | FNI                 | FrN                 | FrW                | FrS                | Y    |
|-----|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------|
| LN  | 1.00                |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                    |                    |      |
| LA  | -0.47*              | 1.00                |                     |                     |                     |                     |                    |                    |      |
| PL  | 0.19 <sup>ns</sup>  | 0.35 <sup>ns</sup>  | 1.00                |                     |                     |                     |                    |                    |      |
| INP | 0.62**              | -0.43 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.45*               | 1.00                |                     |                     |                    |                    |      |
| FNI | -0.06 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.17 <sup>ns</sup>  | -0.05 <sup>ns</sup> | -0.01 <sup>ns</sup> | 1.00                |                     |                    |                    |      |
| FrN | 0.39 <sup>ns</sup>  | -0.17 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.26 <sup>ns</sup>  | 0.70**              | 0.69**              | 1.00                |                    |                    |      |
| FrW | -0.03 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.31 <sup>ns</sup>  | 0.06 <sup>ns</sup>  | -0.25 <sup>ns</sup> | -0.44*              | -0.42 <sup>ns</sup> | 1.00               |                    |      |
| FrS | 0.10 <sup>ns</sup>  | 0.18 <sup>ns</sup>  | 0.07 <sup>ns</sup>  | -0.12 <sup>ns</sup> | -0.40 <sup>ns</sup> | -0.30 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.97**             | 1.00               |      |
| Y   | 0.35 <sup>ns</sup>  | 0.02 <sup>ns</sup>  | 0.30 <sup>ns</sup>  | 0.56**              | 0.60**              | 0.87**              | 0.05 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.16 <sup>ns</sup> | 1.00 |

ns, \* and \*\*: Non significant and significant at 5 and 1% levels of probability, respectively. LN: leaves number per plant, LA: leaf area, PL: petiole length, INP: inflorescences number per plant, FNI: flowers number per inflorescence, FrN: fruits number per plant, FrW: fruit weight, FrS: fruit size, Y: plant yield.

The significance of correlation between yield and traits related to reproductive organs number including the number of inflorescences, flowers and fruits and vice versa the nonsignificance of correlation between yield and fruit weight and size, indicated that the influence of fruit number on plant yield was more pronounced as compared with the influence of fruit size. Similarly Acuna-Maldonado and Pritts (2008) declared that strawberry yield was a function of fruits number rather than size. Furthermore fruit weight was negatively correlated with inflorescences

number/plant and fruits number/plant (Table 3) suggesting a reverse relationship between the number and the size of fruits referred to the competition among fruits for assimilates during fruit filling period. Gawronski and Hortynski (2011) also found a negative correlation between the number of flowers per inflorescence and fruit weight. They concluded that search for genotypes with high numbers of inflorescences and fruits per plant may be more effective because of high correlation of these traits with fruit yield. According to our results the selection

of genotypes based on producing high numbers of flowers and fruits per plant is recommended to achieve a high plant yield of strawberries.

### References

**Acuna-Maldonado LE, Pritts MP.** 2008. Carbon and nitrogen reserves in perennial strawberry affect plant growth and yield. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* **133**, 735–742.

**Anonymous.** 2013. Kurdistan agricultural organization. Statistics section. [www.kurdistan.agri-jahad.ir](http://www.kurdistan.agri-jahad.ir)

**Azzini E, Vitaglione P, Intorre F, Napolitano A, Durazzo A, Foddai MS, Fumagalli A, Catasta G, Rossi L, Venneria E, Raguzzini A, Palomba L, Fogliano V, Maiani G.** 2010. Bioavailability of strawberry antioxidants in human subjects. *British Journal of Nutrition* **104**, 1165–1173. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S000711451000187X>

**Caulet RP, Morariu A, Iurea D, Gradinariu G.** 2013. Growth and photosynthetic characteristics of two strawberry cultivars in response to furostanol glycosides treatments. *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca* **41**, 231-237.

**Da Silva Pinto M, Lajolo FM, Genovese MI.** 2007. Bioactive compounds and antioxidant capacity of strawberry jams. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition* **62**(3), 127-131. Doi: 10.1007/s11130-007-0052-x

**Gawronski J, Hortynski J.** 2011. Hierarchic crossing design in estimation genetic control

quantitative traits of strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa* Duch.). *Acta Scientiarum Polonorum Hortorum Cultus* **10**(1), 77-82.

**Hannum SM.** 2004. Potential impact of strawberries on human health: a review of the science. *Critical Review in Food Science and Nutrition* **44**, 1-17.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10408690490263756>

**Morgan KL.** 2012. Commodity strawberry profile. From:

[http://www.agmrc.org/commodities\\_products/fruits/strawberries/commodity-Strawberry-profile](http://www.agmrc.org/commodities_products/fruits/strawberries/commodity-Strawberry-profile)

**Ozuygur M, Paydas Kargi S, Kafkas E.** 2006. Investigation on yield, fruit quality and plant characteristics of some local, european and american strawberry varieties and their hybrids. *Agriculturae Conspectus Scientificus* **71**(4), 175-180.

**Perez AG, Olias R, Espada J, Olias JM, Sanz C.** 1997. Rapid determination of sugars, nonvolatile acids, and ascorbic acid in strawberry and other fruits. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **45**, 3545-3549.

**Ukalska J, Madry W, Ukalski K, Masny A, Zurawicz E.** 2006. Patterns of variation and correlation among traits in a strawberry germplasm collection (*Fragaria × ananassa* Duch.). *Journal of Fruit and Ornamental Plant Research* **14**, 5-22.